

## Thomas Ewing Jr. to Milton Fithion

After spending the winter in Washington conducting railroad business, Thomas Ewing Jr. wrote to Milton Fithion of Urbana, Ohio, regarding payment for a Wyandot float, but was informed that N. Z. McCulloch (or Tom-a-no-na) is not named in any of the Wyandot treaties as entitled to land, and that therefore his claim is valueless.

Date: March 14, 1859

Callnumber: Thomas Ewing Jr. Coll. #341 Letter Press Book #2

KSHS Identifier: DaRT ID: 820

Item Identifier: 820

[www.kansasmemory.org/item/820](http://www.kansasmemory.org/item/820)



224

Leavenworth, Kansas.

March 14, 1859.

Dear Sir

In answer to an application made by me to Mr. Parrott for payment due from him and George W. Sanders in account of the purchase of your Wyandot float, he informs me that on enquiring and careful examination he finds that N. T. McCulloch (or Tom-a-na-na) is not named in any of the Wyandot treaties as entitled to land - and that therefore his claim is valueless.

It would be worth from \$1,500 to \$2,000 if it were a good claim - as it could be located on any land of the United States, either before or after survey. I have written to the Comr. of Indian affairs to ascertain the character of the claim, & will advise you of his reply.



225

I frequently applied to Mr. Parrott last summer & fall for payment, but he asked me to defer the matter until his associate Mr. Sanders came out - and he did not come until Parrott had left. You could probably make nothing of Sanders, but you can of Parrott if the claim is a good one - In fact he said to me that he would take it, & pay for it himself without reference to Sanders. But that was before he had made the examination. You had better get of Mr. Corwin a copy of his contract with Parrott & Sanders & send to me, as I have not seen it.

Yours respectfully  
Thos. Ewing Jr.

Milton Fithion, Esq.  
Cincinnati, Ohio.