

#### For the Negro Press: Suit Hits Separate Schools in Kansas

Copy of Lucinda Todd's 1951 handwritten news release outlining the legal actions underway, explaining the causes, and listing the names of the attorneys filing the actions associated with the effort of several Topeka families to have their children attend white schools.

Creator: Todd, Lucinda Wilson, 1903-1996

Date: 1951

Callnumber: Lucinda Wilson Todd Coll. #825

KSHS Identifier: DaRT ID: 213400

Item Identifier: 213400

www.kansasmemory.org/item/213400

# KANSAS HISTORICAL SOCIETY



	1951
a per	For the negro fress Suit Hito Separate Schoolsen Lausas
	Topeka Dans, - Legal counsel for a
· ·	group of negro children who through their parents are seeking to enter
	grade schools located in the area in which they live, have filed an
	filed in the U.S. district court of
	28. All of the plaintiffs leve here
	The statue which gives cities of Hausas the right to establish
	separate schools is being challeng.
	It is likely that the litigation
	judge courts
	which prompted residents of this
	community to file action against the board of education of this
	city whose superintendent of
1	schools Henreth me Farland is named along with other defen
	The action was filed by atty
	Chas. E. Bledsoe, Robert L. Carter and Jack Greenburg, the latter
	two being special coursel for the n. a. a. C. P on the amended.
M.	petition the names of John Scott
	lawyers for the plaintiffs.



7.
2
Hansas has for many years
had a complicated crash-quilt
Listing hattern In some
Educational pattern In some Ransas communities all schools
Lansas Communica act the
are integrated. In others the
lower grades are separate, the
Junior High and High Schools
mixed.
all state colleges and universities
an siare coneges when
accommodate studente of all races
including Lausas Technical Ins.
4: t. to the cety which presently
has added white and suspicare
students to its negro body.
In one school in Bethel, Louis
I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I
+1.019
Several guestions have been
Several questions have been propounded in the amended down
Several questions have been propounded in the amended down
Several questions have been propounded in the amended down
Several questions have been propounded in the amended down ment, one of them wanting to
Several questions have been propounded in the amended down ment, one of them wanting to find out if customs and practice of the defendants are unconsti-
Several questions have been propounded in the amended down ment, one of them wanting to find out if customs and practice of the defendants are unconstitutional in that they deny
Several questions have been propounded in the amended down ment, one of them wanting to find out if customs and practice of the defendants are unconstitutional in that they deny
Several questions have been propounded in the amended down ment, one of them wanting to find out if customs and practice of the defendants are unconstitutional in that they demy the plaintiffs the privilege of
Several questions have been propounded in the amended down ment, one of them wanting to find out if customs and practice of the defendants are unconstitutional in that they demy the plaintiffs the privilege of enrolling in schools, while
Several questions have been propounded in the amended down ment, one of them wanting to find out if customs and practice of the defendants are unconstitutional in that they demy the plaintiffs the privilege of enrolling in schools, while
Several questions have been propounded in the amended down ment, one of them wanting to find out if customs and practice of the defendants are unconstitutional in that they demy the plaintiffs the privilege of eurolling in schools, while the same privilege of going to school in the same area is
Several questions have been propounded in the amended down ment, one of them wanting to find out if customs and practice of the defendants are unconstitutional in that they demy the plaintiffs the privilege of enrolling in schools, while the same privilege of going to school in the same area is granted to white children.
Several questions have been propounded in the amended down ment, one of them wanting to find out if customs and practice of the defendants are unconstitutional in that they demy the plaintiffs the privilege of enrolling in schoole, while the same privilege of going to school in the same area is granted to white children.
Several questions have been propounded in the amended down ment, one of them wanting to find out if customs and practice of the defendants are unconstitutional in that they demy the plaintiffs the privilege of enrolling in schoole, while the same privilege of going to school in the same area is granted to white children.
Several questions have been propounded in the amended down ment, one of them wanting to find out if customs and practice of the defendants are unconstitutional in that they demy the plaintiffs the privilege of enrolling in schools, while the same privilege of goingto school in the same area is granted to white children, whether or not the defendant whether or not the defendant
Several questions have been propounded in the amended down ment, one of them wanting to find out if customs and practice of the defendants are unconstitutional in that they deny the plaintiffs the privilege of enrolling in schools, while the same privilege of going to school in the same area is granted to white children, whether or not the defendant can demy negro children, because of race, educational opportunities
Several questions have been propounded in the amended down ment, one of them wanting to find out if customs and practice of the defendants are unconstitutional in that they deny the plaintiffs the privilege of enrolling in schools, while the same privilege of goingto school in the same area is granted to white children, because of raa, educational opportunities of raa, educational opportunities of raa, educational opportunities
Several questions have been propounded in the amended down ment, one of them wanting to find out if customs and practice of the defendants are unconstitutional in that they deny the plaintiffs the privilege of enrolling in schools, while the same privilege of going to school in the same area is granted to white children, whether or not the defendant can demy negro children, because of race, educational opportunities



	3
	the 14 th amendment of the l. S.
	the 14 th amendment of the U.S. is challenged.
	The petition points out that
	1 de la Marana de la trace
	plaintiffs, all negerie; il no sausgy
	plaintiffs, all Regrole who satisfy all requirements for admission
	to schools in their own district
	frave been turned down when they
. –	applied for admission. Consequent
	ly, these negro children must
	attend other schools which do
	not measure up to those attended
	by whiteso
	This lawsuit is designed to
	present the cause of negrots
	throughout hansas who suff.
	er semilar treatment and who
	are somemerous as to make it
	impracticable to bring them
	all before the court.
	One of the main contentions
	is that white children of element.
	ary age go to schools within
	the designated boundaries of
	the district in which they
	live.
	The federal court is asked
	to issue a permanent injunction
	restraining the defendants from
	setting up separate schools for
	negro and white children.
	The plaintiffs through counsel
	want to find out for now and
The state of the s	so are just for the sound for the sound that



cgro i i css	. Buil Hits Beparate Believis in Kalisas
30	4
	all time to come whether or not
	a negro tap payer and citizen of this country and of Lansas an
	be compelled by law to pay tapes for support of schools, and
	then be devied the right to
P.	use of such institution of the
	United States provides attarevise.
	spread and some educators
	schools in Lansas.
	about 10 years ago the Raw. sas Supreme Court, ruled that
	negro people of Topeka were being denied their constitutional privi
	leges by being barred from
	Because of this ruling lighth
	gradesin the negro schools
No.	
The state of the s	